Review our Expected Standards of Behavior when participating in ICANN Meetings.

Go to:
http://go.icann.org/expected-standards

Review the ICANN Community Anti-Harassment Policy when participating in ICANN Meetings.

Go to:
http://go.icann.org/anti-harassment

Do you have a question or concern for the ICANN Ombudsman?

Email ombudsman@icann.org to set up a meeting.

ICANN

ICANN74 GAC Meeting with the GNSO Council

Monday 13 June 2022

ICANN POLICY FORUM 74

THE HAGUE

ICANN | **GAC**

Governmental Advisory Committee

Agenda

1. **Subsequent Rounds of New gTLDs**

   ● Closed Generics
   ● GNSO Guidance Process

2. **DNS Abuse**

   ● GNSO Council to provide update from DNS Abuse Small Group as appropriate.

3. **Accuracy**

   ● GAC and GNSO Council to discuss any potential developments on accuracy matters, if applicable.

4. **Any other business**

   ● SSAD light
   ● ICANN priorities
   ● GPI follow-up

Subsequent Rounds of New gTLDs - Closed Generics

GAC Questions/Talking Points

- The GAC welcomes ALAC participation in the facilitated dialogue in collaboration with the GNSO Council and the GAC.
- The GAC welcomes input from the GNSO Council on criteria for the appointed facilitator for the dialogue.

   As noted to the Board, the GAC believes that the facilitator should be a well-respected member of the ICANN community, with a track-record of respectfully and tactfully building consensus, and independent from commercial interests. Ideally, the facilitator should have a good understanding of the closed generics issue and its history, but not having been directly involved in SubPro PDP WG discussions to bring a fresh perspective to the process. Finally, the facilitator should be willing and able to proactively help different parties find a mutually acceptable solution.

- How many representatives does the GNSO Council foresee appointing to this process? The GAC encourages the facilitator and ICANN Org to consider a balanced number of participants from the GAC and GNSO Council for the dialogue to be effective.

Subsequent Rounds of New gTLDs - GNSO Guidance Process

GAC Questions/Talking Points

- Could the GNSO Council explain what is the aim, the basic process, as well as the timeline for such a process? How may interested GAC members take part in the GNSO Guidance Process?

DNS Abuse

GAC Talking Points/Questions

- The GAC continues to have a great interest in DNS Abuse and would welcome an update from the GNSO small group on DNS Abuse , subject to developments.

Accuracy

GAC Questions/Talking Points

● What is the GNSO Council's appreciation of the advancement of the scoping exercise on accuracy of registration data?

● Is the GNSO Council expecting the work to be concluded within a reasonable timeline given the submitted change of project request?

Registration Data Accuracy Scoping Team

Registration Data Accuracy Scoping Team

First meeting on 5 October 2021

At a Glance

- ❖ Scoping Team has four assignments:
  - Enforcement & Reporting (definition)
  - Accuracy Measurement (gap analysis)
  - Effectiveness
  - Impact and Improvement

- ❖ Scoping Team work has been greatly facilitated by contributions from ICANN Org, including Compliance.

- ❖ Scoping Team is currently finalizing its write-up for assignments #1 (enforcement) & #2 (accuracy measurement) for GNSO Council.

- ❖ Most recently, Scoping Team has engaged with ICANN org on development of scenarios for planned consultation with EDPB

AOB

- **SSAD light**
  - GNSO Council to provide an update as events warrant
- **ICANN Priorities**
  - GAC/GNSO Council early exchange of initial thoughts in preparation for the plenary session at ICANN74.
- **GPI Follow-up**
  - At ICANN 72, the GAC initiated an exchange with the GNSO Council on the way the GNSO Council approaches public interest. At ICANN73, the ICANN Community held a Plenary on the Global Public Interest Framework (GPI Framework) tested by the ICANN Board. In its ICANN73 GAC Communiqué, the GAC noted that "*The GPI framework could be adapted and applied by all ACs and SOs in their work, including, for example, through the process of developing and endorsing policy recommendations, decisions and public comments*."
    - How does the GNSO Council see its role in ensuring that public interest concerns are "not only considered but also effectively addressed" in ICANN policy making?
    - Does the GNSO Council intend adapting the GPI Framework tool to the GNSO Council's own needs?